

## FURTHER SUBMISSION ON PROPOSED TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN (TTPP)

## **SUBMITTER DETAILS** 1.0

**Further Submitter Name:** Kumara Junction Developments Ltd

Address for Service: C/- Davis Ogilvie & Partners Ltd

Level 1, 42 Oxford Street

Richmond 7020

Attention: Pauline Hadfield

Senior Planner

pauline@do.nz Email:

Phone: 03 546 2234

**Submitter Contact Details:** Sean Bisset

Kumara Junction Developments Ltd

sebisset25@gmail.com Email:

Phone: 027 555 3386

**Further Submitter Qualifier:** A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than

the interest the public has.

**Qualifying Reason:** Landowner and developer of land within the Kumara Junction

Development Area, to which Rule SETZ - R2.5 specifically

applies.

We do wish to speak to this further submission.

If others make a similar submission, we will consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing.

## **FURTHER SUBMISSION DETAILS** 2.0

This further submission is made in respect of the following two submissions:

Submitter: **Buller Conservation Group** 

**Submission Point:** S552.187 Support/Oppose: Oppose

Submitter: Frida Inta **Submission Point:** S553.187 Support/Oppose: Oppose

DAVIS OGILVIE
ENGINEERS / SURVEYORS / PLANNERS

Reason:

The Buller Conservation Group and Ms Inta have submitted that they do not agree that 2000m<sup>2</sup> maximum indigenous vegetation clearance within the Kumara Junction Development Area should be a

permitted activity. The two submissions are almost identical in this respect.

Rule SETZ – R2.5 is a site-specific rule relating only to the Kumara Junction Development Area, which

is a defined development that is also subject to site-specific rules requiring the retention of bush buffer

zones on all boundaries. These buffer strips must be a minimum of 5m each side of boundaries to

comply with the permitted activity standard, resulting in 10 metres of bush separating each residential

section within the Development Area.

The 2000m<sup>2</sup> per site clearance permitted by proposed Rule SETZ - R2.5.b is significantly more

restrictive than the general rule controlling vegetation clearance in the Westland District. Rule ECO -

R1.5. ii allows up to 5000m<sup>2</sup> clearance per site over any three-year period for land outside any

scheduled Significant Natural Area.

The 2000m<sup>2</sup> clearance and bush buffer zone rules under SETZ – R2 were originally set in the operative

District Plan in 2012 by the re-zoning Plan Change 8 that created Kumara Junction Developments. The

effects of the vegetation clearance were considered at the time of the Plan Change, including an

ecological assessment of the site.

The protection of the natural bush environment is a key component of the Kumara Junction

Development Area, with specific controls in place to ensure those amenity values are maintained. It is

appropriate that those controls are carried through from the current District Plan to the Te Tai o Poutini

Plan.

**Decision Sought:** 

Retain Rule SETZ - R2.5 as proposed; that is permitting up to 2000m<sup>2</sup> of indigenous vegetation

clearance per site.

3.0 CONCLUSION

Thank you for the opportunity to present this further submission on the proposed Te Tai o Poutini Plan.

Signed:

On behalf of KUMARA JUNCTION DEVELOPMENTS LTD

**PAULINE HADFIELD** 

**DAVIS OGILVIE & PARTNERS LTD** 

Senior Planner, Assoc.NZPI